

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPI	ICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
0	9/783,002	02/15/2001	Alan F. Graves	12660ROUS02U	6057
34845 759		590 06/12/2006		EXAMINER	
		SS & MANARAS LL	.P	CURS, NATHAN M	
	125 NAGOG P ACTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-	,			2613	

DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) Advisory Action 09/783,002 GRAVES ET AL. Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Examiner Art Unit

	Nathan Curs	2613					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 31 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff rtice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS 3.   ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);					
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues</li> </ul>							
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
<ul><li>7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro</li></ul>		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	.,						
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 10-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)					
13. Other:							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments are not persuasive for overcoming the rejections. The suggestion or motivation to combine is not derived from the applicant's disclosure. The suggestion or motivation to combine also does not have to come from explicit statements within the references; it can come from the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. The rejections already establish that a person of ordinary skill would be motivated to combine the cited references, as described in the motivation statements of the rejections. Also, recognition of problems in industry is not the standard for obviousness.

JASON CHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600